

Distr.: Restricted
6 February 2015


English only

Human Rights Council
Working Group on the Right to Development
Sixteenth session
27 April - 1 May 2015
Item 4 of the provisional agenda
**Review of progress in the implementation of
the right to development including consideration,
revision and refinement of the right to development
criteria and operational sub-criteria**

**Views expressed by other stakeholders on the draft right to
development criteria and operational sub-criteria**

GE.15-02000 (E)

1502000

Please recycle 



I. Introduction

1. The Human Rights Council, in its resolution 27/2 endorsed the recommendations of the Working Group as reflected in its report on its fifteenth session, 12-16 May 2014, in which it request OHCHR to make available on its website and to the Working Group two conference room papers reflecting comments and views submitted during the session by Governments, groups of Governments and regional groups, as well as by other relevant stakeholders, respectively.

2. This document contains the comments and views expressed by relevant stakeholders other than Governments, groups of Governments and regional groups which are contained in document A/HRC/WG.2/15/CRP.1. Comments and views submitted by Governments, groups of Governments and regional groups on proposals made by other relevant stakeholders are reflected in footnotes in document A/HRC/WG.2/16/CRP.2. Comments and views submitted by other relevant stakeholders on proposals made by Governments, groups of Governments and regional groups are reflected in footnotes in document A/HRC/WG.2/16/CRP.1.

II. Views expressed by other relevant stakeholders on the right to development criteria and operational sub-criteria¹

Criterion 1 (a)

To promote constant improvement in socio-economic well-being

Criterion 1 (a) alt 1

To promote constant improvement in socio-economic well-being, **the individual and collective rights of all peoples, including the equal right of indigenous peoples to development and the right to free, prior and informed consent. In no case may peoples be deprived of their right to subsistence (ICSA²)**

Criterion 1 (a) alt 2

To ensure respect, protection of the human dignity of individuals and peoples and their civil and political rights (CINGOs WG³)

Sub-criterion 1 (a) (i)

Health

Sub-criterion 1 (a) (i) bis

Social determinants of health (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 1 (a) (ii)

Education

¹ See the annex for explanation of the rules applied to reflect views and comments submitted.

² The Indian Council of South America, also on behalf of the Indigenous Peoples and Nations Coalition, Indigenous Council For Human Rights, Women's International League for Peace and Freedom, International Association of Schools of Social Work, OSCOPROCE International and International Human Rights Association of American Minorities.

³ CINGOs WG stands for Working Group of Catholic Inspired NGOs on the Right to Development and International Solidarity. It consist of the Associazione Comunità Papa Giovanni XXIII (APG23), Association Points Coeur, Caritas Internationalis (International Confederation of Catholic Charities), Dominicans for Justice and Peace (Order of Preachers), International Institute of Mary Our Help of the Salesians of Don Bosco (IIMA), Volontariato Internazionale Donna Educazione Sviluppo (VIDES), Internazionale Organization for the Right to Education and Freedom of Education (OIDELE) and New Humanity.

Sub-criterion 1 (a) (iii)

Housing and water

Sub-criterion 1 (a) (iv)

Work and social security

Sub-criterion 1 (a) (v)

Food security and nutrition

Sub-criterion 1 (a) (v) alt 1

Right to Food (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 1 (a) (v) sexes

Appropriate means and facilities to foster comprehensive development (CINGOs WG)

Criterion 1 (a) quater

To achieve the realization of economic, social and cultural rights (CINGOs WG)

Criterion 1 (b)

To maintain stable national and global economic and financial systems

Sub-criterion 1 (b) (i)

Reducing risks of domestic financial crises

Sub-criterion 1 (b) (ii)

Providing against volatility of national commodity prices

Sub-criterion 1 (b) (iii)

Reducing risks of external macroimbalances

Sub-criterion 1 (b) (iv)

Reducing and mitigating impacts of international financial and economic crises

Sub-criterion 1 (b) (v)

Protect against volatility of international commodity prices, **prohibition of stock exchange speculation on commodity prices, which in particular relate to foodstuffs (CETIM)**

Sub-criterion 1 (b) (v) sexes

Regulation of the financial sector (CETIM)

Sub-criterion 1 (b) (v) septies

Regulation of financial institutions (CETIM)

Sub-criterion 1 (b) (v) octies

Regulation of transnational corporation activities at domestic and international levels (CETIM)

Sub-criterion 1 (b) (v) nonies

Forgiveness of the external debts of States (headings after a public audit) (CETIM)

Criterion 1 (c)

To adopt national and international policy strategies supportive of the right to development

Criterion 1 (c) alt 1

To adopt national and international policy strategies supportive of the right to development **for all peoples, including indigenous peoples and nations (ICSA)**

Sub-criterion 1 (c) (i)

Right to development priorities reflected in national development plans and programmes

Sub-criterion 1 (c) (i) bis

Ensuring peoples' participation in decision making for development policy setting (CETIM)

Sub-criterion 1 (c) (ii)

Right to development priorities reflected in policies and programmes of IMF, World Bank, WTO and other international institutions

Criterion 1 (d)

To establish an economic regulatory and oversight system to manage risk and encourage competition

Sub-criterion 1 (d) (i)

System of property rights and contract enforcement

Sub-criterion 1 (d) (ii)

Policies and regulations promoting private investment

Criterion 1 (e)

To create an equitable rule-based, predictable and non-discriminatory international trading system

Criterion 1 (e) alt 1

To create an equitable, rule-based, predictable and non-discriminatory international trading system **for all peoples, including indigenous peoples and nations respectful of their right to free, prior and informed consent (ICSA)**

Sub-criterion 1 (e) (i)

Bilateral, regional and multilateral trade rules conducive to the right to development

Sub-criterion 1 (e) (ii)

Market access (share of global trade)

Sub-criterion 1 (e) (iii)

Movement of persons

Criterion 1 (f)

To promote and ensure access to adequate financial resources

Criterion 1 (f) alt 1

To promote and ensure access to adequate financial resources **for all peoples, in respect of the equal right of indigenous peoples and nations and their free, prior and informed consent (ICSA)**

Sub-criterion 1 (f) (i)

Domestic resource mobilization

Sub-criterion 1 (f) (ii)

Magnitude and terms of bilateral official capital flows

Sub-criterion 1 (f) (iii)

Magnitude and terms of multilateral official capital flows

Sub-criterion 1 (f) (iv)

Debt sustainability

Sub-criterion 1 (f) (iv) bis

Total debt cancellation for least developed countries (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 1 (f) (iv) ter

Adoption of an international convention prohibiting tax havens and the reform of the statutes of TNC's organized as holding, trust, etc. so that these entities cannot escape taxation (CETIM⁴)

Criterion 1 (g)

To promote and ensure access to the benefits of science and technology

Criterion 1 (g) alt 1

To promote and ensure access to the benefits of science and technology, **in particular for local communities including indigenous peoples (ICSA)**

Criterion 1 (g) alt 2

To promote and ensure equitable access to the benefits of science and technology belonging to all peoples and equally to all indigenous peoples and nations and to obtain their free, prior and informed consent for their use. To establish an international mechanism for the promotion and protection of their human and collective rights, including their right to self-determination (ICSA)

Sub-criterion 1 (g) (i)

Pro-poor technology development strategy

Sub-criterion 1 (g) (i) bis

Amendment of the WTO TRIPS rules to improve people's rights and the general interest (CETIM, Venezuela)⁵

Sub-criterion 1 (g) (ii)

Agricultural technology

Sub-criterion 1 (g) (ii) quater

Technical, financial and political support for small farmers and improved protection for the rights of small farmers (CETIM)

Sub-criterion 1 (g) (iii)

Manufacturing technology

Sub-criterion 1 (g) (iv)

Technology transfer, access and national capacity

Sub-criterion 1 (g) (iv) quinquies

To promote and ensure equitable access to and sharing of science, knowledge and technology, and their benefits, including technology transfer at the international level (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 1 (g) (v)

Green energy technology

⁴ Centre Europe - Tiers Monde.

⁵ The USA requested deleting this proposal. Japan expressed its reservation to this proposal.

Sub-criterion 1 (g) (vi)

Health technology

Sub-criterion 1 (g) (vii)

Information technology

Sub-criterion 1 (g) (vii) nonies

The adoption of legislative measures to prevent monopolies being formed in the information sector at the national and international level (CETIM)

Criterion 1 (h)

To promote and ensure environmental sustainability and sustainable use of natural resources

Criterion 1 (h) alt 1

To promote and ensure environmental sustainability and sustainable use of natural resources **respecting the international rights of indigenous peoples and nations and of all peoples (ICSA)**

Sub-criterion 1 (h) (i)

Prevent environmental degradation and resource depletion

Sub-criterion 1 (h) (ii)

Access to natural resources

Sub-criterion 1 (h) (ii) bis

Firmly mention the respect to the right to self-determination of peoples and their permanent sovereignty on their natural resources (CETIM)⁶

Sub-criterion 1 (h) (ii) ter

Adoption of an international binding instrument to cover the activities of trans-national corporations (CETIM)⁷

Sub-criterion 1 (h) (iii)

Sustainable energy policies and practices

Sub-criterion 1 (h) (iii) ter

Adoption of legislative measures in order to impose strict standards upon industrial manufacturers in order to limit energy wastage (CETIM)

Criterion 1 (i)

To contribute to an environment of peace and security

Sub-criterion 1 (i) (i)

Reduce conflict risks

Sub-criterion 1 (i) (ii)

Protecting the vulnerable during conflict

Sub-criterion 1 (i) (iii)

Post-conflict peacebuilding and development

⁶ Morocco proposed to add “without prejudice to the full control and sovereignty of States over their natural wealth and resources”. The USA expressed its reservation to this sub-criterion.

⁷ Japan and the USA expressed their reservation to this sub-criterion. The EU requested to delete this sub-criterion.

Sub-criterion 1 (i) (iv)

Refugees and asylum-seekers

Sub-criterion 1 (i) (v)

Personal security not in times and zones of armed conflict

Sub-criterion 1 (i) (v) sexies

Promotion of the creation of national peace institutions (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 1 (i) (v) septies

Reduction of military expenditure in favour of social and development policies (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 1 (i) (v) octies

Promotion or implementation of educational programmes for peace for all scholastic levels (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 1 (i) ter (ii)

Inclusion of internationally recognized super partes organizations in the conflict resolution process (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 1 (i) ter (iii)

Elimination of threats of war (CINGOs WG)

Criterion 1 (i) terdecies

Indigenous peoples and nations and all peoples have the right to enjoy the benefits of international standards promoting the right of peoples to peace and security and to the principles established under the United Nations definition of aggression in accordance with the right to self-determination (ICSA)

Criterion 1 (j)

To adopt and periodically review national development strategies and plans of action on the basis of a participatory and transparent process

Sub-criterion 1 (j) (i)

Collection and public access to key socio-economic data disaggregated by population groups

Sub-criterion 1 (j) (ii)

Plan of action with monitoring and evaluation systems

Sub-criterion 1 (j) (iii)

Political and financial support for participatory process

Sub-criterion 1 (j) (iii) bis alt1

Active role of women and vulnerable groups⁸ (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 1 (j) (iii) quinquies

Creation of legislative frameworks to facilitate the role of social business for development (CINGOs WG)

⁸ Morocco proposed to replace “vulnerable groups” with “individuals in vulnerable situations”.

Sub-criterion 1 (j) (iii) sexies

Financial, political and legislative support to NGOs at international and local level (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 1 (j) (iii) septies

Improvement personal commitment actions and appropriate lifestyles through human right education and development (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 1 (j) (iii) octies

Inclusion of information on the progressive realization of the right to development in country reports to the UPR mechanism and United Nations treaty bodies (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 1 (j) (iii) nonies

Acceptance of UPR and United Nations treaty bodies' recommendations on the right to development (CINGOs WG)

Criterion 2 (a)

To establish a legal framework supportive of sustainable human-centred development

Sub-criterion 2 (a) (i) ante

Incorporation of the right to development as a legal norm in international and regional instruments and mechanisms, national constitutions, legislations and policies (CINGOs WG)⁹

Sub-criterion 2 (a) (i)

Ratification of **right to development (CNIE¹⁰)** relevant international conventions

Withdrawal of reservations to international¹¹ conventions which contravene the right to development (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 2 (a) (i) alt 1

Ratification of international¹² legal documents¹³ on the right to development and relevant international conventions (CNIE)¹⁴

Sub-criterion 2 (a) (ii)

Responsiveness to international monitoring and review procedures

Sub-criterion 2 (a) (iii)

National legal protection of ~~human rights~~ **the right to development (CNIE)¹⁵**

Criterion 2 (a) bis

The right to self-determination and the collective right of indigenous peoples and all peoples must be recognized and respected in the implementation of sustainable development (ICSA)

Criterion 2 (a) ter

Establish a binding international legal framework in order to guarantee sustainable development in order to ensure the survival of humankind (ICSA)

⁹ Japan, EU, USA, Switzerland requested to delete this sub-criterion.

¹⁰ China NGO Network for International Exchanges.

¹¹ Switzerland proposed to add "human rights" after "international" and expressed its reservation to "which contravene the right to development".

¹² Switzerland proposed to add "human rights" after "international".

¹³ The EU proposed to replace "documents" with "instruments".

¹⁴ Italy and the USA expressed their reservation to this sub-criterion.

¹⁵ Japan, USA, EU, Switzerland expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CNIE.

Criterion 2 (b)

To draw on relevant international human rights instruments in elaborating development strategies

Criterion 2 (b) alt 2

To draw on relevant international human rights instruments in elaborating ~~development strategies~~ **the right to development (North-South XXI)**

Sub-criterion 2 (b) (i)

Human rights-based approach **that includes the right to development (CINGOs WG)** in national development strategies

Sub-criterion 2 (b) (i) alt 2

~~Human rights~~ **Right to development**-based approach in national development strategies (CNIE)¹⁶

Sub-criterion 2 (b) (ii)

Human rights-based approach **that includes the right to development (CINGOs WG)** in policy of bilateral and multilateral institutions/agencies

Sub-criterion 2 (b) (ii) alt 2

~~Human rights~~ **Equal development**-based approach in policy of bilateral and multilateral institutions/agencies (CNIE)¹⁷

Criterion 2 (b) ter

Recognize the right to self-determination of indigenous peoples and all peoples as a foundation for developing international instruments and to ensure non-discrimination in implementing established human rights instruments (ICSA)¹⁸

Criterion 2 (c)

To ensure non-discrimination, access to information, participation and effective remedies

Sub-criterion 2 (c) (i)

Establishment of a framework providing remedies for violations

Sub-criterion 2 (c) (i) bis

Facilitating access to effective justice to the most vulnerable people and/or people living in extreme poverty (CINGOs WG)¹⁹

Sub-criterion 2 (c) (ii)

Establishment of a framework to facilitate participation

Sub-criterion 2 (c) (ii) alt 2

Establishment of a framework to facilitate participation through inter alia ensuring effective education on human rights of individuals and peoples by drawing programmes and curriculum for human rights education, by carrying out public education campaigns, by implementation of training policies, processes and tools designated to provide guidance to educators, civil servants, and law enforcement officials (CINGOs WG)²⁰

Sub-criterion 2 (c) (ii) bis

¹⁶ Switzerland, EU, USA, Japan expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CNIE.

¹⁷ USA, EU, Switzerland, Japan expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CNIE.

¹⁸ Morocco proposed two sub-criteria to this criterion, to which the USA expressed its reservation:
Sub-criterion 2 (b) ter (i) Ensuring the participation of indigenous peoples in decision-making processes
Sub-criterion 2(b) ter (ii) Promoting the culture, heritage and language of indigenous peoples

¹⁹ Switzerland expressed support for this proposal made by CINGOs WG.

²⁰ Switzerland requested rewording this proposal. The USA expressed its reservation to this proposal.

To promote access to data, information, products and services for the safety and security of society against natural disasters, facilitated through policies and practices and enabled by appropriate technologies, such as ICTs (WMO)²¹

Sub-criterion 2 (c) (iii)

Procedures facilitating participation in social and economic decision-making

Sub-criterion 2 (c) (iii) alt 1

Procedures facilitating participation in social and economic decision-making **through the establishment of permanent consultative instruments with persons, civil society, community organizations, grassroots organizations and the academic community, and by involving persons living in extreme poverty in the design, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of social protection and other policies that have an impact on vulnerable groups (CINGOs WG)²²**

Sub-criterion 2 (c) (iv)

Establishment of a legal framework supportive of non-discrimination

Sub-criterion 2 (c) (v)

Establishment of assessment and evaluation system supportive of non-discrimination

Sub-criterion 2 (c) (vi)

Indicators reflecting likelihood of differential treatment of marginalized groups

Sub-criterion 2 (c) (vii)

Mechanisms for transparency and accountability

Criterion 2 (d)

To promote good governance at the international level and effective participation of all countries in international decision-making

Criterion 2 (d) alt 1

To promote good governance at the international level and effective participation of all countries **and peoples including indigenous peoples and nations on issues that concern them (ICSA)** in international decision-making

Sub-criterion 2 (d) (i)

Mechanisms for incorporating aid recipients' voice in aid programming and evaluation

Sub-criterion 2 (d) (ii)

Genuine participation of all concerned in international consultation and decision-making

Sub-criterion 2 (d) (ii) septies

Consensus oriented international policy making and action (CNIE)

Sub-criterion 2 (d) (ii) octies

Establishment of international framework to facilitate participation (CNIE)

Sub-criterion 2 (d) (ii) nonies

Rule of law at the international level (CNIE)

Sub-criterion 2 (d) (ii) decies

Effective and efficient governance (CNIE)

²¹ Switzerland expressed support for this proposal. The USA expressed its reservation to this proposal.

²² The USA and Pakistan expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

Sub-criterion 2 (d) (ii) undecies

Mechanism for accountability (CNIE)

Sub-criterion 2 (d) (ii) duodecies

Mechanism for transparency (CNIE)

Sub-criterion 2 (d) (ii) terdecies

The responsiveness to the development situation or the degree of development (CNIE)

Sub-criterion 2 (d) (ii) quaterdecies

To establish an equitable and inclusive international framework for development (CNIE)

Criterion 2 (e)

To promote good governance and respect for rule of law at the national level

Sub-criterion 2 (e) (i)

Government effectiveness

Sub-criterion 2 (e) (i) bis

Government transparency (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 2 (e) (ii)

Prevention and (CINGOs WG)²³ Control of corruption

Sub-criterion 2 (e) (ii) alt 1

Promote participation of civil society in governance (CNIE)

Sub-criterion 2 (e) (iii)

Rule of law

Criterion 3 (a)

To provide for fair access to and sharing of the benefits of development

Sub-criterion 3 (a) (i)

Equality of opportunity in education, health, housing, employment and incomes

Sub-criterion 3 (a) (ii)

Equality of access to resources and public goods, **including safe drinking water (CINGOs WG)²⁴**

Sub-criterion 3 (a) (ii) bis

Reducing the existing gaps of infrastructures between urban and rural areas (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 3 (a) (iii)

Reducing marginalization of least developed and vulnerable countries

Sub-criterion 3 (a) (iv)

Ease of immigration for education, work and revenue transfers

Sub-criterion 3 (a) (iv) quinquies

Inclusiveness and equitable sharing of the benefits of globalisation (CINGOs WG)²⁵

²³ Switzerland and Japan expressed support for the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

²⁴ Switzerland expressed support for the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

²⁵ South Africa expressed support for the proposal made by CINGOs WG. The USA expressed its reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

Sub-criterion 3 (a) (iv) sexies

Protection and remedies against negative effects of globalisation (CINGOs WG)²⁶

Sub-criterion 3 (a) (iv) septies

The implementation of development assistance (CNIE)²⁷

Sub-criterion 3 (a) (iv) octies

The coexistence of plural cultures (CNIE)²⁸

Sub-criterion 3 (a) (iv) nonies

The fair sharing of the achievements of the reform of the international political system (CNIE)²⁹

Sub-criterion 3 (a) (iv) decies

The fair distribution of global income (CNIE)³⁰

Criterion 3 (b)

To provide for fair sharing of the burdens of development

Sub-criterion 3 (b) (i)

Equitably sharing environmental burdens of development

Sub-criterion 3 (b) (i) quinquies

The international comparison of productive factors and market sharing (CNIE)

Sub-criterion 3 (b) (ii)

Just compensation for negative impacts of development investments and policies

Sub-criterion 3 (b) (iii)

Establishing safety nets to provide for the needs of vulnerable populations in times of natural, financial or other crisis

Sub-criterion 3 (b) (iii) alt 2

Preventive solidarity that addresses both natural and man-made disasters (CINGOs WG)

Criterion 3 (c)

To eradicate social injustices through economic and social reforms

Criterion 3 (c) alt 3

To address the social and economic injustices by addressing the political rights of indigenous peoples and nations at the national and international levels (ICSA)

Sub-criterion 3 (c) (i)

Policies aimed at decent work which provide for work that is productive and delivers a fair income, security in the workplace and social protection for families

Sub-criterion 3 (c) (i) ter

Access to good quality employment³¹ should be a key driver of development (CFAH³²)

²⁶ South Africa expressed support for the proposal made by CINGOs WG. The USA expressed its reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

²⁷ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CNIE.

²⁸ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CNIE.

²⁹ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CNIE.

³⁰ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CNIE.

³¹ The EU, supported by Switzerland, proposed to replace “quality employment” with “decent work”.

Sub-criterion 3 (c) (i) quater

To broaden the measures of development used in State policies beyond GDP and other macro-level indicators (CFAH)³³

Sub-criterion 3 (c) (ii)

{[Elimination (CINGOs WG)] of sexual exploitation and human trafficking as well as the rehabilitation of victims (CFAH)³⁴} {CNIE delete}

Sub-criterion 3 (c) (iii)

{Elimination of child labour} {CNIE delete}

Sub-criterion 3 (c) (iv)

Eliminate slum housing conditions

Sub-criterion 3 (c) (iv) alt 1

To ensure decent housing to all those living in slum housing conditions (CINGOs WG)³⁵

Sub-criterion 3 (c) (v)

Land reform

Sub-criterion 3 (c) (v) ter

Sound economic policies that foster growth with equity (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 3 (c) (v) quater

Eradication of extreme poverty (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 3 (c) (v) quinquies

To promote and incentivize social entrepreneurship as a means of eradicating social injustice (CFAH)

Sub-criterion 3 (c) (v) sexes

Acknowledgement that education is a key element to eradicating social injustice and that access to education as well as knowledge is a human right for all. Any discussion and decision making regarding education must always directly involve youth (CFAH)

Sub-criterion 3 (c) (v) septies

Policies that maximize the potential of youth. Facilitation of internships, apprenticeships, work experience and entrepreneurship to combat youth unemployment and improve accessibility to jobs (CFAH)

Sub-criterion 3 (c) (v) octies

Policies that promote the engagement of youth across all sectors (CFAH)

Criterion 3 bis³⁶

To promote the right of self-determination of peoples (CINGOs WG)

³² Le Collectif des Femmes Africaines du Hainaut.

³³ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CFAH.

³⁴ Namibia requested rewording the proposal made by CFAH.

³⁵ Switzerland expressed support for the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

³⁶ Algeria and Venezuela proposed a sub-criterion 3 bis (iii) under this criterion, to which the EU and the USA expressed their reservation.

Sub-criterion 3 bis (iii) {States shall take resolute steps to eliminate the massive and flagrant violations of the human rights of peoples and human beings affected by situations such as colonization (Algeria, Venezuela)} {EU, USA reservation}

Sub-criterion 3 bis (i)

Free determination, participation, contribution and enjoyment by all peoples to their economic, social, cultural and political development (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 3 bis (ii)

Sovereignty over all natural wealth and resources (CINGOs WG)

Criterion 3 ter

To establish a new international economic order (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 3 ter (i)

Entitlement to a social international order in which the rights and freedoms set forth in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights can be fully realized (CINGOs WG)³⁷

Sub-criterion 3 ter (ii)

Ethical and more democratic financial architecture, removal of inequities in decision making processes among international institutions like WTO, World Bank, IMF, etc. (CINGOs WG)³⁸

Sub-criterion 3 ter (iii)

Removal of asymmetries in international trade (CINGOs WG)³⁹

Sub-criterion 3 ter (iv)

Creation of legislative frameworks to facilitate the role of social business for development (CINGOs WG)⁴⁰

Sub-criterion 3 ter (v)

Improvement of personal commitments in development actions and appropriate lifestyles through human rights education and development (CINGOs WG)⁴¹

Sub-criterion 3 ter (vi)

Elimination of tax havens (CINGOs WG)⁴²

Sub-criterion 3 ter (vii)

To explore innovative mechanisms, to comprehensively address debt problems without imposing conditionalities (CINGOs WG)⁴³

Sub-criterion 3 ter (viii)

Considering total debt elimination for least developed countries (CINGOs WG)⁴⁴

Sub-criterion 3 ter (ix)

Recognition of the positive role of migrants in the globalization process (CINGOs WG)⁴⁵

³⁷ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

³⁸ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

³⁹ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁴⁰ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁴¹ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁴² The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁴³ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁴⁴ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁴⁵ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

Sub-criterion 3 ter (x)

Establishment of development policies and processes aimed at reducing the root causes of climate change (CINGOs WG)⁴⁶

Criterion 3 quater

To strengthen effective international cooperation (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 3 quater (i)

Strengthening of South-South and trilateral cooperation as a complement to North-South cooperation (CINGOs WG)⁴⁷

Sub-criterion 3 quater (ii)

0.7 percent of GNP to official development assistance delivered (CINGOs WG)⁴⁸

Sub-criterion 3 quater (iii)

Implementing collective and extraterritorial obligations (CINGOs WG)⁴⁹

Sub-criterion 3 quater (iv)

Development of a new model of international cooperation based on solidarity without conditionalities (CINGOs WG)⁵⁰

Criterion 3 quinquies

To use the principle of subsidiarity in establishing responsibilities (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 3 quinquies (i)

Most appropriate level of decision making and intervention (CINGOs WG)⁵¹

Criterion 3 sexies

To recognize international solidarity as a right and as a global preventive approach in order to ensure peace and effective development for all (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 3 sexies (i)

Preventive solidarity that address both natural and man-made disasters (CINGOs WG)⁵²

Sub-criterion 3 sexies (ii)

Supporting the process of declaring the right of individuals and peoples to international solidarity (CINGOs WG)⁵³

Criterion 3 septies

Creation of a system of strategic partnership between a developed and a developing country, which have different but complementary needs and can work together for mutual benefit and outcomes in economic, political, social and humanitarian development (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 3 septies (i)

Establishment of twinning programmes between developed and developing countries to promote effective partnership (CINGOs WG)⁵⁴

⁴⁶ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁴⁷ The EU expressed its reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁴⁸ The EU expressed its reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁴⁹ The EU expressed its reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁵⁰ The EU expressed its reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁵¹ The EU expressed its reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁵² The EU expressed its reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁵³ The EU expressed its reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

Sub-criterion 3 septies (ii)

Implementation of monitoring systems based on quantitative as well as qualitative indicators (CINGOs WG)⁵⁵

Criterion 3 octies

To provide the right to development with a legal binding framework (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 3 octies (i)

Progressing towards a legal binding document on the right to development by mainstreaming the right to development in international fora and documents (CINGOs WG)⁵⁶

Criterion 3 nonies

To recognize the right to peace of individuals and peoples (CINGOs WG)

Sub-criterion 3 nonies (i)

States to provide for international stability, security and peace through development (CINGOs WG)⁵⁷

Sub-criterion 3 nonies (ii)

To eliminate threats of war (CINGOs WG)⁵⁸

Sub-criterion 3 nonies (iii)

To strive towards disarmament including nuclear weapons (CINGOs WG)⁵⁹

Sub-criterion 3 nonies (iv)

Promotion of transitional justice aimed at peace reconciliation (CINGOs WG)⁶⁰

Sub-criterion 3 nonies (v)

Solution of conflicts through negotiations and any other peaceful means as per Article 33 of the Charter of the United Nations (CINGOs WG)⁶¹

⁵⁴ The USA expressed its reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁵⁵ The USA expressed its reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁵⁶ The EU, the USA, Japan and Switzerland expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁵⁷ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁵⁸ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁵⁹ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁶⁰ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

⁶¹ The EU and the USA expressed their reservation to the proposal made by CINGOs WG.

Annex

Text in bold indicates a proposal to add new text, followed by the name of the entity proposing the new text in bold in brackets (**country X or NGO Y**).

~~Struck through text~~ indicates a proposal to delete text, followed by the name of the entity proposing to delete text in bold in brackets (**country X or NGO Y**).

~~Struck through text~~ immediately followed by **text in bold** indicates a proposal to replace the struck-through text with the bold text, followed by the name of the entity proposing deletion and insertion of new text in bold in brackets (**country X or NGO Y**).

{Text in curly brackets} indicates a request to delete the entire text between the curly brackets, or an expression of reservation to it, or a request to reword the text, as indicated, followed by the name of the entity proposing deletion, reservation or rewording in bold in curly brackets **{country X or NGO Y delete or reservation or reword}**.

Entities are listed within brackets in chronological order, the first representing the entity, which made the respective proposal and the following entities those supporting the proposal made.

[Text in square brackets] indicates alternative proposals followed by the name of the respective entity proposing the alternative texts in bold in brackets at the end of the text within the square brackets [Text (**country X or NGO Y**)].

Bold text in italics indicates a proposal to insert additional text to proposed new text, followed by the name of the entity proposing the new text in bold in brackets in italics (*country X or NGO Y*).

Alt 1, 2, 3 etc. indicates alternative paragraphs proposed to replace an existing paragraph followed by the name of the entity proposing the alternative paragraph in bold in brackets (**country X or NGO Y**).

Where the variety of proposals made and their multipronged character would result in an unclear or contradictory text, the proposals are reflected as two or more alternatives.

(semel), bis, ter, quater, quinquies, sexies, septies, octies, nonies, decies, undecies, duodecies, terdecies, quaterdecies, quindecies, sexdecies, septdecies, octodecies, novodecies, vicies etc. indicates a proposal to insert an additional paragraph followed by the name of the entity proposing the new text in bold in brackets (**country X or NGO Y**) – to avoid having to renumber the subsequent paragraphs and leaving the original numbering intact.

Numbering of new proposals:

Where a new criterion had been proposed, proposals for new sub-criteria under this criterion use roman numbers (i), (ii), etc.

For example:

Criterion 3 ter

Sub-criteria 3 ter (i), (ii), etc.

Where a new sub-criterion is proposed to be added within or after an existing list of sub-criteria, the numbers bis, ter etc. are used.

For example:

Sub-criteria 3 (c) (i) to (vi)

Sub-criterion 3 (c) (i) bis, ter, etc.

Sub-criterion 3 (c) (vi) bis, ter, etc.

Where a proposal is made to introduce a new sub-criterion before the first existing sub-criteria, *ante* (and where necessary followed by arabic numbers 1, 2 etc.) is used.

For example:

Sub-criterion 3 (c) (i) *ante*

Sub-criterion 3 (c) (i) *ante* 1, 2 etc.

Other views and comments of a general nature with no concrete text suggestions are not reflected.

Summary table Change proposed by entity	Presentation in text
Support of old text by X	Text (X)
Proposal of new text by X	Bold (X)
Support of new text proposed by X by Z then Y	Bold (X, Z, Y)
Proposal of additional text to proposed new text by Y	<i>Bold italics (Y)</i>
Deletion of text by X	Struck through (X)
Replacement of text by X	Struck through bold (X)
Alternative new texts proposed by X and Y	[Text (X)] [Text (Y)]
Alternative paragraphs to replace an existing paragraph proposed by X and Y	Alt 1: Text (X) Alt 2: Text (Y)
Deletion, reservation to or rewording of text	{Text}{ X }
Insertion of new sub-criteria within or at the end of an existing list of sub-criteria (e.g. between sub-criterion 3 (b) (ii) and 3 (b) (iii))	Sub-criterion 3 (b) (ii) bis Sub-criterion 3 (b) (ii) ter Sub-criterion 3 (b) (ii) quater
Insertion of a new sub-criterion at the beginning of an existing list (e.g. before sub-criterion 3 (c) (i))	Sub-criterion 3 (c) (i) <i>ante</i>